

# New data on *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* Poinar, 1976 from south eastern Iran

Seddiqi, E.<sup>a</sup>, Shokoohi, E.<sup>a\*</sup> & Karimi, J.<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Unit for Environmental Sciences and Management, Potchefstroom, North West University, South Africa.

<sup>b</sup> Biocontrol and Insect Pathology Lab., Department of Plant Protection, School of Agriculture, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran.

(Received: 20 July 2015; Accepted: 13 July 2016)

During a survey on the entomopathogenic nematodes from Kerman province of Iran, three new populations of *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* were recovered from natural areas. Description, measurement and illustration are provided for these isolates. Molecular analysis on ITS sequence of new isolates of *H. bacteriophora* set these populations close to a population from South Africa (EU700310). *H. bacteriophora* and *H. georgiana* formed a monophyletic group. This is the first data of ITS rDNA of *H. bacteriophora* from south eastern Iran (Kerman province). Analysis of Iranian *H. bacteriophora* showed that Kerman isolates place close to Mashhad isolates.

**Key words:** *Heterorhabditis*, Iran, ITS rDNA, morphology, phylogeny, taxonomy.

## INTRODUCTION

The genus *Heterorhabditis* Poinar 1975 belongs to the order Rhabditida and are obligate parasite of insects. The entomopathogenic nematode (EPN) species, *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* is utilized as biocontrol agents against various insect pests of agricultural significance (Grewal et al. 2005; Kooliyotttil et al. 2013). The infective juvenile stage carries a Gram-negative bacterium in anterior part of intestine and transmits to the hemocoel of insect hosts (Poinar et al. 1977). This symbiotic bacterium (*Photorhabdus luminescens*) has been studied in different aspects (Strauch and Ehlers, 1998; Fischer-Le Saux et al. 1999; Duchaud et al. 2003; Ciche et al. 2006). Species of *Heterorhabditis* have been studied previously according to morphology, cross breeding and molecular characteristics (Akhurst, 1987; Curran and Webster, 1989; Dix et al. 1992; Gardner et al. 1994; Griffin et al. 1994; Joyce et al. 1994; Liu and Berry, 1996; Naismith et al. 1996; Nguyen and Smart, 1996; Stock and Kaya, 1996; Stock et al. 1996; Adams et al. 1998; Nguyen et al. 2006; Maneesakorn et al. 2011). The advantage of ITS rDNA as a useful marker for phylogenetic study firstly has been revealed by Hillis and Dixon (1991). Joyce et al. (1994), Baldwin et al. (1995) and Adams et al. (1998) also showed that ITS rDNA gene is useful marker for phylogenetic analysis within this genus. At present, 19 species of *Heterorhabditis* has been reported (Edgington et al. 2010; Plichta et al. 2009; Stock et al. 2002; Saleh, 1995; Karimi et al. 2011) and the number of nominal species is increasing over the time. In Iran only *H. bacteriophora* has been reported previously (Karimi et al. 2015). The aims of this study were to addressing diversity of new isolates/species of *Heterorhabditis* genus in south east of Iran. Moreover, we attempted to infer the phylogenetic relationship of described and deposited isolates of *Heterorhabditis* in NCBI database as well as three new isolates of *H. bacteriophora* which resulted from the current project. Finally, morphometric and illustration of the studied isolates are given.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

### Nematode collection

During 2012- 2013, we examined 178 soil samples on the occurrence of EPNs in south eastern Iran. The soil samples were collected from beneath trees and orchards located in Kerman (Kerman province, Iran). Last instar larvae of *Galleria mellonella* (Lepidoptera, Galleriidae) placed into 50 ml plastic containers (Bedding & Akhurst, 1975) at room temperature (about 25°C). Soil samples were checked every 2 days from 4<sup>th</sup> day after baiting with the *Galleria* larvae. Dead insects with reddish, red-violet color from the soil samples were collected, rinsed in distilled water and placed on White (1927) traps to collect emerging Infective Juvenile.

### Molecular analysis

For DNA extraction, nematode specimens (10 individuals) were picked into 1.5 ml tube containing 25 µl double distilled water. The tube was frozen in liquid nitrogen and was crushed with a sterile needle, then vortexed. Afterwards, 2 µl Worm Tissue Lysis buffer (WTL) and 2 µl proteinase K (20 mg ml<sup>-1</sup>) were added. The homogenate was incubated at 56°C for 1 h and then at 95°C for 10 min (Shokoohi et al. 2014). The supernatant was extracted and stored at -20°C. The forward primer 18S (5'-TTGATTACGTCCTGCCCCTT-3') and the reverse primer 26S (5'-TTTCACTCGCCGTTACTAAGG -3') (Vrain et al. 1992) were used in the PCR for amplification of the ITS region. PCR was conducted with 8 µl of the extracted DNA, 2.5 µl of PCR buffer, 0.5 µl of DNTP, 1 µl of MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.3 µl Taq, 1 µl of each primer (10 pmol µl<sup>-1</sup>) and ddH<sub>2</sub>O to a final volume of 25 µl. The amplification was carried out using an Eppendorf master cycler gradient (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). The PCR profile was 3 min at 94°C, 37 cycles of 45 s at 94°C, 45 s at 54°C and 1 min at 72°C, and finally one cycle of 6 min at 72°C followed by a holding temperature of 4°C. After DNA amplification, 5 µl of product was electrophoresed on 1% agarose gel for DNA checking. The bands were stained, visualized and photographed under a UV transilluminator. The product was stored at -20°C prior to sequencing. PCR product was purified and sequenced with primers that used for amplification step. Sequencing reactions were performed by the Bioneer Company (South Korea) (<http://eng.bioneer.com>).

Sequences for the ingroups and outgroups were provided from the GenBank. The ribosomal ITS sequences were analysed and aligned using the program ClustalW implemented in BioEdit (Hall, 1999). Phylogenetic tree was reconstructed using the Bayesian inference method with the Mr Bayes 3.1.2 software (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck, 2003). The analysis was run for 10<sup>6</sup> generations. The ITS rDNA sequence of *Steinernema minutum* Mancezakorn, Grewal, and Chandrapatya, 2010 (GU647156) was used as outgroup. This selection was based on the result of Karimi *et al.* (2011). The tree was visualised with "TreeView" program. ITS sequences of *H. bacteriophora* were deposited in the GenBank under accession numbers KM870815, KM870816 and KM870817, respectively.

## RESULTS

Six of the 178 soil samples (3%) were positive for occurrence of EPNs. Among them, five isolates of *Heterorhabditis* genus was isolated. Future study showed that all isolates belonged to species group of "*bacteriophora*".

*Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* Poinar, 1976  
(Fig. 1 A-F)

**Measurements.** See Table 2.

**TABLE 1.** Nematode species and GenBank accession number used for phylogenetic study. Iranian sequences are presented in bold.

Species	GenBank accession number	Fragment length	Reference	Origin
<i>Heterorhabditis amazonensis</i>	DQ665222	1045 bp	Andalo et al. 2006	Brazil
<i>Heterorhabditis atacamensis</i>	HM230723	730 bp	Edgington et al. 2011	Chile
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	EU598233	819 bp	Eivazian Kary et al. 2009	Iran
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	EU598236	819 bp	Eivazian Kary et al. 2009	Iran
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	EU598237	819 bp	Eivazian Kary et al. 2009	Iran
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	EU598231	819 bp	Eivazian Kary et al. 2009	Iran
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	EU598227	819 bp	Eivazian Kary et al. 2009	Iran
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	EU598228	819 bp	Eivazian Kary et al. 2009	Iran
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	EU598232	819 bp	Eivazian Kary et al. 2009	Iran
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	KM870815	599 bp	Present study	Iran
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	KM870816	596 bp	Present study	Iran
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	KM870817	595 bp	Present study	Iran
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	EU700310	880 bp	de Waal and Malan., 2008	South Africa
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	EU598225	819 bp	Eivazian Kary et al. 2009	Iran
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	EU598224	819 bp	Eivazian Kary et al. 2009	Iran
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	EU598222	819 bp	Eivazian Kary et al. 2009	Iran
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	EU598223	818 bp	Eivazian Kary et al. 2009	Iran
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	EF469774	822 bp	Shahina et al. 2008	Pakistan
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	FJ653913	1014 bp	Karimi et al. 2009	Iran
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	AY170328	1024 bp	Qiu et al. 2006	China
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	EU163272	1041 bp	Karimi et al. 2007	Iran
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	FJ860043	784 bp	Nikdel et al. 2010	Iran
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	DQ100262	725 bp	Saeb and Grewal., 2005	USA
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	JX164230	771 bp	Hassani-Kakhki et al. 2012	Iran
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	EU715291	900 bp	Malan et al. 2011	South Africa
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	EU598230	816 bp	Eivazian Kary et al. 2009	Iran
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	DQ333349	628 bp	Saeb et al. 2006	USA
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	DQ100255	734 bp	Saeb and Grewal., 2005	USA
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	DQ100256	471 bp	Saeb and Grewal., 2005	USA
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	DQ100258	577 bp	Saeb and Grewal., 2005	USA
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	EU200360	840 bp	Stock et al. 2008	Jordan
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	EU200357	838 bp	Stock et al. 2008	Jordan
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	EU200361	837 bp	Stock et al. 2008	Jordan
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	EU180070	660 bp	Emelianoff et al. 2008	France
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	EU180069	660 bp	Emelianoff et al. 2008	France
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	EF043438	1063 bp	Regeai and Burnell., 2006	Ireland
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	EU435140	809 bp	Valadas et al. 2008	Portugal
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	HM140691	864 bp	Noujeim et al. 2011	Lebanon
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	EU716332	695bp	de Waal and Malan, 2008	South Africa
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	EU598234	816 bp	Eivazian Kary et al. 2009	Iran
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	EU598235	818 bp	Eivazian Kary et al. 2009	Iran
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	EU516355	819 bp	Eivazian Kary et al. 2009	Iran
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	FJ860041	777 bp	Nikdel et al. 2010	Iran
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	FJ860042	824 bp	Nikdel et al. 2010	Iran
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	FJ860044	826 bp	Nikdel et al. 2010	Iran
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	FJ860045	700 bp	Nikdel et al. 2010	Iran
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	FJ860046	911 bp	Nikdel et al. 2010	Iran
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	FJ860047	811 bp	Nikdel et al. 2010	Iran
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	FJ860048	814 bp	Nikdel et al. 2010	Iran
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	JF920961	850 bp	Karimi et al. 2011	Iran
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	GU362544	707 bp	Karimi and Mokaram, 2011	Iran
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	JF358015	786 bp	Karimi et al. 2011	Iran
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	GQ848095	634 bp	Tanha Maafi et al. 2011	Iran
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	KC675180	844 bp	Kamali and Karimi., 2013	Iran
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	EU598226	818 bp	Nikdel et al. 2008	Iran
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	EU598229	817 bp	Nikdel et al. 2008	Iran
<i>Heterorhabditis banjardi</i>	EU363039	854 bp	Dolinski et al. 2008	Brazil
<i>Heterorhabditis banjardi</i>	AF548768	795 bp	Phan et al. 2003	Vietnam
<i>Heterorhabditis brevicaudis</i>	DQ020278	556 bp	Hsieh et al. 2009	China

<i>Heterorhabditis brevicaudis</i>	DQ177908	556 bp	Hsieh et al. 2008	China
<i>Heterorhabditis downesi</i>	EU921444	791 bp	Lakatos and Toth, 2008	Hungary
<i>Heterorhabditis downesi</i>	AY321482	990 bp	Nguyen et al. 2004	Mexico
<i>Heterorhabditis floridensis</i>	DQ372922	1057 bp	Nguyen et al. 2006	USA
<i>Heterorhabditis georgiana</i>	HQ225901	901 bp	Manceesakorn et al. 2011	USA
<i>Heterorhabditis georgiana</i>	HQ225898	901 bp	Manceesakorn et al. 2011	USA
<i>Heterorhabditis hawaiiensis</i>	AF029707	550 bp	Adams et al. 1998	USA
<i>Heterorhabditis hepialius</i>	AF029709	559 bp	Adams et al. 1998	USA
<i>Heterorhabditis indica</i>	KF247222	948 bp	Gokte-Narkhedkar et al. 2013	India
<i>Heterorhabditis marelatus</i>	AY321479	995 bp	Nguyen et al. 2004	Mexico
<i>Heterorhabditis marelatus</i>	DQ100271	560 bp	Saeb and Grewal, 2005	USA
<i>Heterorhabditis megidis</i>	HQ225905	888 bp	Manceesakorn et al. 2011	USA
<i>Heterorhabditis megidis</i>	EU921442	793 bp	Lakatos and Toth, 2008	Hungary
<i>Heterorhabditis safricana</i>	FJ791249	732 bp	Malan, 2009	South Africa
<i>Heterorhabditis safricana</i>	FJ473361	878 bp	Malan, 2008	South Africa
<i>Heterorhabditis sonorensis</i>	FJ477731	880 bp	Stock et al. 2009	USA
<i>Heterorhabditis sonorensis</i>	FJ477730	880 bp	Stock et al. 2009	Mexico
<i>Heterorhabditis taysearae</i>	KC633186	1021 bp	DarIssa et al. 2013	Gaza Strip
<i>Heterorhabditis taysearae</i>	EF043443	1052 bp	Regeai and Burnell	USA
<i>Heterorhabditis zealandica</i>	EU031650	777 bp	Malan et al. 2011	South Africa
<i>Heterorhabditis zealandica</i>	EU860184	796 bp	Malan et al. 2011	South Africa
<i>Steinernema minutum</i>	GU647156	852 bp	Manceesakorn et al. 2010	Thailand

Material from province of Kerman (five females, four males and four Infective Juveniles in a good state of preservation)

**Female.** Body length slightly curved ventrad after fixation. Cuticle smooth. Lateral field not visible. Lip region offset, having six round lips, bearing small papillae. Stoma rhabditoid, 8-11  $\mu\text{m}$  long, with distinct cheilo-, gymno- and stegostom. Cheilostom finely cuticularized. Gymnostom longer than cheilostom, having well cuticularized lumen. Stegostom not having glottoid apparatus without denticles. Pharyngeal corpus 3-4 times isthmus length, with procorpus longer than metacarpus. Metacarpus distinct, swollen. Isthmus robust, distinctly separated from metacarpus. Basal bulb ovoid, with valvular apparatus. Cardia conoid, surrounded by intestinal tissue. Nerve ring at isthmus level, at 62-65% of neck length. Excretory pore opening at basal bulb level, at 60- 74% of neck length. Deirid not visible. Intestine without distinct specialization. Reproductive system didelphic-amphidelphic. Vulva protruding, located posterior to middle part of body. Oviduct short. Vagina with fine walls, extending inward 0.1 of the body width. Rectum 0.9-1.2 times anal body diameter. Tail conical, with acute end (Fig. 1. C, E, D).

**Male.** General morphology similar to that of female. Body curved ventrally after fixation. Genital system monorchic, with testis reflexed anteriorly. Tail conical, curved ventrally, with pointed tip. Bursa peloderan, opening anteriorly with nine pairs of papillae, three precloacal and seven postcloacal, arranged in 1+2+3+3 pattern, the GP1<sup>th</sup> and GP4<sup>th</sup> shorter. Manubrium rounded; calamus short and offset; lamina curved ventrad and expanded with rounded tip. Gubernaculum curved ventrally, expanded in its anterior part (Fig. 1. A, B).

**Juvenile.** Body elongate after fixation. Cuticle clearly, smooth. Lateral field not visible. Lip region continuous with neck, having six round lips, bearing small papillae. Stoma rhabditoid, 15-18  $\mu\text{m}$  long, with distinct cheilo-, gymno- and stegostom. Cheilostom finely cuticularized. Gymnostom longer than cheilostom, having well cuticularized lumen. Stegostom without glottoid apparatus. Pharyngeal corpus 3.3-3.8 times isthmus length, with procorpus longer than metacarpus. Isthmus robust, distinctly separated from metacarpus. Basal bulb ovoid, 12-20  $\mu\text{m}$  long, with valvular apparatus. Cardia conoid, 3-4  $\mu\text{m}$  long, surrounded by intestinal tissue. Nerve ring at isthmus level, at 42-62% of neck length. Excretory pore opening at bulb level, at 70-76% of neck length. Deirid not visible. Intestine without distinct specialization. Tail conical, with acute end (Fig. 1. F).

**Locality and habitat.** The specimens were found in Lalezar (province of Kerman; N: [29°52'17"](#); E: [56°81'06"](#)), in association with the rizosphere of *Pinus* sp.

**Other materials examined.** Very similar to females of Kerman population but having shorter body and pharynx.

**Remark.** The Iranian specimens of *H. bacteriophora* fit well with previously studied materials (Poinar, 1976; Karimi et al. 2011; Nikdel et al. 2012; Erbas et al. 2014). In comparison with the material examined by Poinar (1976), it differs in female body length (1500-3425  $\mu\text{m}$  vs 512-671  $\mu\text{m}$ ), tail length (49-97  $\mu\text{m}$  vs 83-112  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and gubernaculum length (12-32  $\mu\text{m}$  vs 18-25  $\mu\text{m}$ ). Karimi et al. (2011) reported this species with shorter body length (vs 702-808  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and spicules (vs 29-36  $\mu\text{m}$ ). Compared with the specimens studied by Nikdel et al. (2012), there are no significant differences. Erbas et al. 2014 studied this species with shorter body length (vs 540-643  $\mu\text{m}$ ).

The lengths of ITS sequence of *H. bacteriophora* amplified were 595-599 bp (see Table 1). The ITS sequences aligned clearly with previous described isolates of *H. bacteriophora*. The Bayesian analysis implied the strongly supported clades for all studied isolates (Fig. 2).

Pairwise Maximum Composite Likelihood distance among the ITS rDNA region of *H. bacteriophora* molecularly identified sequences showed that *H. bacteriophora* populations from Kerman (KM870815; KM870816; KM870817; Iran) have the highest genetic variation (0.570, 0.590 and 0.593, respectively) with *H. bacteriophora* from Tehran (FJ653914; Iran). (see Table 3)

## DISCUSSION

### Phylogenetic analysis

The reconstructed phylogenetic tree contained three main clades: I) *H. bacteriophora* and *H. georgiana*; II) *H. megidis*, *H. downesi*, *H. zealandica*, *H. safranica*, *H. atacamensis*, *H. marelatus* and *H. hepilaius*; and III) *H. sonorensis*, *H. taysearae*, *H. floridensis*, *H. amazonensis*, *H. baujardi*, *H. brevicaudis* and *H. indica*.

The phylogenetic relationships among the 80 *Heterorhabditis* isolates are presented in Figure 2. The 54 isolates of *H. bacteriophora* comprise a paraphyletic group by analysis of the ITS region. In this clade, the two isolates of *H. georgiana* places within the isolate isolates of *H. bacteriophora*. This result obtained by some scientists (Erbaş et al. 2014; Karimi et al. 2014; Malan et al. 2014). Maneesakorn et al. (2011) showed close relationship of *H. bacteriophora* and *H. georgiana*. The later species (*H. georgiana*) was described by Nguyen et al. (2008) from Georgia (USA). According to Nguyen et al. (2008), *H. georgiana* is the closest species to *H. bacteriophora*. Both species similar in having female tail (conical, 22-36 vs 29-41  $\mu\text{m}$ ; see Nguyen et al. 2008), male tail (conical with peloderan bursa), spicule and gubernaculum shape and bursal papillae (1+3+3+3). However, they differ in spicules length (36-44 vs 41-49  $\mu\text{m}$ ), gubernaculum length (18-25 vs 20-28  $\mu\text{m}$ ), gubernaculum/spicules% (50 vs 51-64) (see Nguyen et al. 2008). In addition both species having the same ITS region (Nguyen et al. 2008), but they differ in 29 aligned nucleotides position. *H. bacteriophora* and *H. georgiana* form a monophyletic group with highly supported values (posterior probability, 1.00). Both species have similar characters (Nguyen et al. 2008). However, male posterior end (e.g. spicule shape and papillae arrangement) is different. It seems that more study is needed to distinguish between these two species. This result obtained by Nguyen et al. (2008). They classified both species in the "bacteriophora" group. They considered both species as sister group according to ITS rDNA region. The pairwise divergence between taxa ranged from 0.005 to 0.633 (Table 3). The result indicates that *H. bacteriophora* has the lowest divergence with *H. georgiana* (0.031; Table 3).

During the survey on EPN in Kerman province, five isolates of *H. bacteriophora* were recovered from 178 soil samples collected throughout the province. According to the present study, revealed that *H. bacteriophora* is the dominant species of this genus in Kerman province. Sequences of the ITS region and morphological characters, have confirmed validity of the studied species in arid region of Iran.

The occurrence of EPNs in the study area of our survey was relatively low (3%). The main reasons for low recovery rate for EPNs for this region may be due to nearby the studied region with desert. In addition more samples may increase the species diversity and the number of positive samples.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Adams, B. J., Powers, T. O., & Burnell, A. M. 1998. A phylogenetic analysis of the genus *Heterorhabditis* (Nemata:Rhabditidae) based on internal transcribed spacer 1 sequence data. *Journal of Nematology* 30, 22-39.
- Akhurst, R. J. 1987. Use of starch gel electrophoresis in the taxonomy of the genus *Heterorhabditis* (Nematoda: Heterorhabditidae). *Nematologica* 33, 1-9.
- Baldwin, B. G., Sanderson, M. J., Porter, J. M., Wojciechowski, M. F., Campbell, C. S., & Donoghue, M. J., 1995. The ITS region of nuclear ribosomal DNA-A valuable source of evidence on Angiosperm phylogeny. *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden* 82, 247-277.
- Bedding, R.A., & Akhurst R.J. 1975: A simple technique for the detection of insect parasitic nematodes in soil. *Nematologica* 21, 109-110.
- Ciche, T. A., Darby, C., Ehlers, R., Forst, S., & Goodrich-Blair, H. 2006. Dangerous liaisons: The symbiosis of entomopathogenic nematodes and bacteria. *Biological Control* 38, 22-46.
- Curran, J. & Webster, J. M.1989. Genotypic analysis of *Heterorhabditis* isolates from North Carolina. *Journal of Nematology* 21, 140-145.
- Dix, I., Burneli, A. M., Griffin, C. T., Joyce, S. A., Nugent, M. J., & Downes, M. J. 1992. The identification of biological species in the genus *Heterorhabditis* (Nematoda: Heterorhabditidae) by cross-breeding second generation amphimictic adults. *Parasitology* 104, 509-518.
- Dolinski, C., Kamitani, F. L., Machado, I. R., & Winter, C. E. 2008. Molecular and morphological characterization of heterorhabditid entomopathogenic nematodes from the tropical rainforest in Brazil. *Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz* 103, 150-159.
- Duchaud, E., Rusniok, C., Frangeul, L., Buchrieser, C., Givaudan, A., Taourit, S., Bocs, S., Boursaux-Eude, C., Chandler, M., Charles, J-F., Dassa, E., Derose, R., Derzelle, S., Freyssinet, G., Gaudriault, S., Médigue, C., Lanois, A., Powell, K., Siguier, P., Vincent, R., Wingate, V., Zouine, M., Glaser, P., Boemare, N., Danchin, A., & Kunst, F. 2003. The genome sequence of the entomopathogenic bacterium *Photorhabdus luminescens*. *Natural Biotechnology* 21, 1307-1313.
- Edgington, S., Buddie, A. G., Moore, D., France, A., Merinoand, L., & Hunt, D. J. 2010. *Heterorhabditis atacemensis* n. sp. (Nematoda: Heterorhabditidae), a new entomopathogenic nematode from the Atacama Desert, Chile. *Journal of Helminthology* 19, 1-14.
- Eivazian Kary, N., Niknam, G., Griffin, C. T., Mohammadi, S. A., & Moghaddam, M. 2009. A survey of entomopathogenic nematodes of the families Steinernematidae and Heterorhabditidae (Nematoda: Rhabditida) in the north-west of Iran. *Nematology* 11, 107-116.

- Emelianoff, V., Le Brun, N., Pages, S., Stock, S. P., Tailliez, P., Moulia, C., & Sicard, M. 2008. Isolation and identification of entomopathogenic nematodes and their symbiotic bacteria from Hérault and Gard (Southern France). *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* 98, 211-217.
- Fischer-Le Saux, M., Viillard, V., Brunel, B., Normand, P., & Boemare, N. E. 1999. Polyphasic classification of the genus *Photorhabdus* and proposal of new taxa: *P. luminescens* subsp. *luminescens* subsp. nov., *P. luminescens* subsp. *akburstii* subsp. nov., *P. luminescens* subsp. *laumondii* subsp. nov., *P. temperata* sp. nov., *P. temperata* subsp. *temperata* subsp. nov. and *P. asymbiotica* sp. nov. *International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology* 1645-1656.
- Gardner, S. L., Stock, S. P., & Kaya, H. K. 1994. A new species of *Heterorhabditis* from the Hawaiian Islands. *Journal of Parasitology* 80, 100-106.
- Grewal, P. S., Ehlers, R. U., & Shapiro-Ilan, D. I. 2005. Nematodes as biocontrol agents. Wallingford, UK, CABI Publishing: 505 pp.
- Griffin, C.T., Joyce, S.A., Dix, I., Burnell, A.M., & Downes, M.J. 1994. Characterisation of the entomopathogenic nematode *Heterorhabditis* (Nematoda: Heterorhabditidae) from Ireland and Britain by molecular and cross-breeding techniques, and the occurrence of the genus in these islands. *Fundamental and Applied Nematology* 17, 245-253.
- Hall, T. A. 1999. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucleic Acids Symposium Series* 41, 95-98.
- Hillis, M. D., & Dixon, M. T. 1991. Ribosomal DNA: Molecular Evolution and Phylogenetic Inference. *The Quarterly Review of Biology* 66, 411-453.
- Hsieh, F. C., Tzeng, C. Y., Tseng, J. T., Tsai, Y. S., Meng, M., & Kao, S. S. 2009. Isolation and characterization of the native entomopathogenic nematode, *Heterorhabditis brevicaudis*, and its symbiotic bacteria from Taiwan. *Current Microbiology* 58, 564-570.
- Joyce, S. A., Burnell, A. M., & Powers, T. O. 1994. Characterization of *Heterorhabditis* isolates by PCR amplification of segments of mtDNA and rDNA genes. *Journal of Nematology* 26, 260-270.
- Karimi, J., Mokarram Hesar, A., & Hasanikakhki, M. 2011. Study of native isolate of *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* and its symbiont bacteria *Photorhabdus luminescens* subsp. *laumondii*. *Iranian Plant Protection Science* 42 (2), 353-363. (In Persian).
- Karimi, J. & Salari, E. 2015. Entomopathogenic Nematodes in Iran: Research and Applied Aspects, in: Campos –Herrera, R (ed), *Nematode Pathogenesis of Insects and Other Pests* – Springer Springer International Publishing. p. 451-476.
- Kooliyottil, R., Upadhyay, D., Inman III, F., Mandjiny, S., & Holmes, L. 2013. A comparative analysis of entomoparasitic nematodes *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* and *Steinernema carpocapsae*. *Open Journal of Animal Sciences* 3, 326-333.

- Liu, J., & Berry, R. E. 1996. Phylogenetic analysis of the genus *Steinernema* by morphological characters and randomly amplified polymorphic DNA fragments. *Fundamental and Applied Nematology* 19, 463-469.
- Malan, A. P., Knoetze, R., & Tiedt, L. 2014. *Heterorhabditis noenieputensis* n. sp. (Rhabditida: Heterorhabditidae), a new entomopathogenic nematode from South Africa. *Journal of Helminthology* 88, 139-5.
- Malan, A. P., Knoetze, R., & Moore, S. D. 2011. Isolation and identification of entomopathogenic nematodes from citrus orchards in South Africa and their biocontrol potential against false codling moth. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* 108, 115-125.
- Maneesakorn, P. A. R., Daneshvar, H., Taylor, K., Bai, X., Adams, B. J., Grewal, P.S., & Chandrapatya, A. 2011. Phylogenetic and cophylogenetic relationships of entomopathogenic nematodes (Heterorhabditis: Rhabditida) and their symbiotic bacteria (Photorhabdus: Enterobacteriaceae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 59, 271-280.
- Maneesakorn, P., Grewal, P. S., & Chandrapatya, A. 2010. *Steinernema minuta* sp. nov. (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae): a new Entomopathogenic Nematode from Thailand. *International Journal of Nematology* 20, 27-42.
- Naismith, J. H., Devine, T. Q., Kohno, T., & Sprang, S. R. 1996. Structures of the extracellular domain of the type I tumor necrosis factor receptor. *Structure* 4, 1251-1262.
- Nguyen, K. B., & Smart, G. C. 1996. Identification of entomopathogenic nematodes in the Steinernematidae and Heterorhabditidae (Nemata: Rhabditida). *Journal of Nematology* 28, 286-300.
- Nguyen, K. B., Gozel, U., Koppenhofer, H. S., & Adams, B. J. 2006. *Heterorhabditis floridensis* n. sp. (Rhabditida: Heterorhabditidae) from Florida. *Zootaxa* 1177, 1-19.
- Nguyen, K. B., Mráček, Z., & Webster, J. M. 2006. Morphological and molecular characterization of a new isolate of *Steinernema feltiae* (Filipjev, 1934) from Vancouver, Canada, with morphometrical comparison with the topotype population from Russia. *Zootaxa* 1132, 51-61.
- Nguyen, K. B., Shapiro-Ilan, D. I., Stuart, R. J., McCoy, C. W., James, R. R., & Adams, B. J. 2004. *Heterorhabditis mexicana* n. sp. (Rhabditida: Heterorhabditidae) from Tamaulipas, Mexico, and morphological studies of the bursa of *Heterorhabditis* spp. *Nematology* 6, 231-244.
- Nguyen, K. B., Shapiro-Ilan, D. I., & Mbata, G. N. M. 2008. *Heterorhabditis georgiana* n. sp. (Rhabditida: Heterorhabditidae) from Georgia, USA. *Nematology* 10, 433-448.
- Nikdel, M. N., Niknam, G., Griffin, M., & Eivazian Kary, N. 2010. Diversity of entomopathogenic nematodes (Nematoda: Steinernematidae, Heterorhabditidae) from Arasbaran forests and rangelands in north-west Iran. *Nematology* 12, 767-773.
- Nikdel, M., Niknam, G., & Eliasy, G. 2012. Morphological and molecular characterization of a new isolate of *heterorhabditis bacteriophora* poinar, 1976 from east Azarbaijan, Iran. *Nematologia Mediterranea* 40, 135-141.



- Nikdel, M., Niknam, G., Shojaei, M., Askary, H., & Mohammadi, S. A. 2008. A survey on the response of the last instar larvae of acorn weevil, *Curculio glandium* (Col.: Curculionidae), to entomopathogenic nematodes *Steinernema bicornutum* and *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* in the laboratory. *Journal of Entomological Society of Iran* 28, 45-60.
- Noujeim, E., Khater, C., Pages, S., Ogier, J. C., Tailliez, P., Hamze, M., & Thaler, O. 2011. The first record of entomopathogenic nematodes (Rhabditia: Steinernematidae and Heterorhabditidae) in natural ecosystems in Lebanon: A biogeographic approach in the Mediterranean region. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* 107, 82-85.
- Phan, K. L., Subbotin, S. A., Nguyen, N., & Moens, M. 2003. *Heterorhabditis banjardi* sp. n. (Rhabditida: Heterorhabditidae) from Vietnam and morphometric data for *H. indica* populations. *Nematology* 5, 367-382.
- Plichta, K. L., Joyce, S. A., Clarke, D., Waterfield, N., & Stock, S. P. 2009. *Heterorhabditis gerrardi* n. sp. (Nematoda: Heterorhabditidae): the hidden host of *Photobacterium asymbiotica* (Enterobacteriaceae: gamma-Proteobacteria). *Journal of Helminthology* 83, 309-320.
- Poinar, G. O. 1975. Description and biology of a new parasitic rhabditoid, *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* n. gen., n. sp. (Rhabditida, Heterorhabditidae n. fam.). *Nematologica* 21, 463-470.
- Poinar, G. O., Thomas, G. M., & Hess, R. 1977. Characteristics of the specific bacterium associated with *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* (Heterorhabditidae: Rhabditida). *Nematologica* 23, 97-102.
- Ronquist, F., & Huelsenbeck, J. 2003. MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. *Bioinformatics* 19, 1572-1574.
- Saleh, M. M. E. 1995. Efficiency of the Egyptian entomopathogenic nematode, *Heterorhabditis taysearae* in controlling the cabbage-worm, *Pieris rapae* (L.) (Lepidoptera: Pieridae). *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control* 5, 99-112.
- Shokoohi, E., Mehdizadeh, S., Amirzadi, N., & Abolafia, J. 2014. Four new geographical records of rhabditid nematodes (Nematoda: Rhabditida: Rhabditomorpha) from Iran with a note on phylogenetic position of the genus *Pelodera* Schneider, 1866. *Russian Journal of Nematology* 22, 49 – 66.
- Stock, S. P. 2002. New trends in entomopathogenic nematodes systematics: impact of molecular biology and phylogenetic reconstruction. In: Monduzzi (Ed.), Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Parasitology, 4-9 Aug., Vancouver, Canada, p.1.
- Stock, S. P., & Kaya, H. K. 1996. A multivariate analysis of morphometric characters of *Heterorhabditis* species and the role of morphometrics in the taxonomy of the species of the genus. *Journal of Parasitology* 82, 806-813.
- Stock, S. P., Al Banna, L., Darwish, R., & Katbeh, A. 2008. Diversity and distribution of entomopathogenic nematodes (Nematoda: Steinernematidae, Heterorhabditidae) and their bacterial symbionts (gamma-Proteobacteria: Enterobacteriaceae) in Jordan. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* 98, 228-234.

- Stock, S. P., Rivera-Orduño, B., & Flores-Lara, Y. 2009. *Heterorhabditis sonorensis* n. sp. (Nematoda: Heterorhabditidae), a natural pathogen of the seasonal cicada *Diceroprocta ornea* (Walker) (Homoptera: Cicadidae) in the Sonoran desert. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* 100, 175-84.
- Stock, S. P., Strong, D., & Gardner, S. L. 1996. Identification of *Heterorhabditis* (Nematoda: Heterorhabditidae) from California with a new species isolated from the larvae of the ghost moth *Hepialis californicus* (Lepidoptera: Hepialidae) from the Bodega Bay Natural Reserve. *Fundamental and Applied Nematology* 19, 585-592.
- Strauch, O., & Ehlers, R. 1998. Food signal production of *Photorhabdus luminescens* inducing the recovery of entomopathogenic nematodes *Heterorhabditis* spp. in liquid culture. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 50, 369–374.
- Vrain, T. C., Wakarchuk, D. A., Levesque, A. C., & Hamilton, R. I. 1992. Intraspecific rDNA restriction fragment length polymorphisms in the *Xiphinema americanum* group. *Fundamental and Applied Nematology* 15, 563-574.
- White, G. F. 1927. A method for obtaining infective nematode larvae from cultures. *Science* 66, 302-303.